

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,606	03/19/2004	Peter Cagliari	930024-2008	9555
20999 7590 01/24/2008 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			EXAMINER	
			MOHANDESI, JILA M	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3728	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		\mathcal{L}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/804,606	CAGLIARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Jila M. Mohandesi	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE 10/31/2007.						
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 2, the phrase "a reinforcement means" is vague and indefinite. It this is not clear if this is the same reinforcement means as in claim 1 or is another reinforcement means.

In claim 11, the phrase "is not at least partly covered by the flexible envelope" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear what structure is encompassed by such language.

In claim 12, the phrase "a rigid core comprises the frame extending transversely under the rigid core" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear what structure is encompassed by such language.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the rigid core" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chenevert (US 6,499,233) in view of Saillet et al. (US 6,938,362). Chenevert discloses a sports boot for gliding boards such as skates, comprising a first part in a first material (footbed 58, see column 7, lines 7-17) and a second part superposed on said first part and a second material (skin assembly 60 preferably made of synthetic fabric or textile material such as nylon, aramid textile used with or without a thermoplastic sheet backing), and reinforcement means (thermoplastic shell 20, with sole 32 and thinned wall portions 38 and 39, see column 5, lines 14-40) wherein the reinforcement means are at least partly formed by at least one frame which is positioned at least partially between said parts wherein said frame is partially covered by said second part. See Figures 4-6 embodiments. Chenevert does not appear to disclose the reinforcement means formed by at least one frame in synthetic material internally reinforced with mineral or synthetic fibers positioned at least partially between said parts and the mineral or synthetic fibers being embedded in a matrix. Saillet discloses a reinforcement for a boot, wherein one of the layers of said sandwich structure is made of a composite material based on woven or nonwoven fibers included in a matrix, wherein: the fibers comprise a member selected from the group consisting of the following materials:

carbon fibers, glass fibers, metallic fibers, natural and synthetic textile fibers, and mixtures of such materials; the matrix comprises a member selected from the group consisting of the following materials: epoxy, polyester, and phenolic resins; thermoplastics, including polyamides, polyurethanes, polyolefins, and mixtures of such materials, and the core of the sandwich structure comprises a member selected from the group consisting of the following materials: a synthetic foam, wood and a honeycomb structure, to improve the torsional stiffness, efficiency, durability, lightness, cost, foot protection, and industrial workability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the thermoplastic reinforcement means of Chenevert from a thermoplastic matrix as taught by Saillet to improve the torsional stiffness, efficiency, durability, lightness, cost, foot protection, and industrial workability and yield only predictable results. "[1]f a technique has been used to improve one device, and a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it would improve similar devices in the same way, using the technique is obvious unless its actual application is beyond that person's skill."

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2 and 10-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM January 17, 2007